

Minister of the States that they will complete the work distribution of surplus land up to 31 March, 1992 but state-wise according to the figures submitted upto now regarding allotment of land the aspirations of the poor the does not seem to be fulfilled. Poor people feel very disturbed. The land allotted is very nominal. They have asked for further time limit to complete this task. I would like to ask that when the Chief Ministers are aware of this situation then would the Central Government issue a directive to allot the surplus land available with them. This is the basic question because Government does not have land records. When the Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh do not have any land records with them, on what basis the area of surplus land can be estimated. Unless and until there is a land record with the Government allotment of land cannot be done. Many States have enacted their own laws in this regard. In 1960, Appu Committee submitted a model in this regard which has not been implemented. I would like to submit to the Central Government that it should make a model land ceiling law and implement it in all the States and directives to the States should be issued to the effect that State should make arrangement for allotment of surplus land available with the State to the people in this or next year otherwise they should not be allowed to stay in power.

SHRI G. VENKATSWAMI, Mr. Speaker, Sir, a decision was taken on 14th March in the Conference of Revenue Ministers that the distribution of disputed land should be completed by the month of June and the surplus land should be distributed upto June, I have brought this decision to your notice.

#### **Plan Outlay for Uttar Pradesh**

+

\*492. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR  
GANGWAR:  
SHRI BHUWAN CHANDRA  
KHANDURI:

Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of plan outlay proposed to the Government of Uttar Pradesh for 1992-93;

(b) the total amount sanctioned by the Union Government in this regard;

(c) whether there is a demand for increasing this outlay; and

(d) if so, the reaction of the Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b). In a meeting held on 6.3.1992 between Deputy Chairman, planning commission and the Chief Minister of Uttar Pradesh the outlay for the Annual plan 1992-93 of Uttar Pradesh was agreed at Rs. 3353 crores. The state Government had earlier proposed an outlay of Rs. 4034.42 crores.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GANGWAR: Mr. Speaker, Sir, you are aware of the decision to increase the outlay for Uttar Pradesh which had been done on the continuous demand of Members from Uttar Pradesh.

[English]

MR. SPEAKER: Who is reading the newspaper in the House? You are not expected to do so.

[Translation]

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GANGWAR:

In January, a working group of Planning Commission had gone there and it recommended an outlay of Rs. 4542 crores. An outlay of Rs. 4000 crores was submitted after the commendation of the Planning Commission. Mr. Speaker, Sir, Uttar Pradesh has a total population of more than thirteen crore. But I felt very distressed after leaning the figures submitted by the Government. Uttar Pradesh has the lowest per capital average outlay in the country. Hon. Speaker, Sir, Uttar Pradesh has got only Rs. 180 per capital outlay for 1992-93 whereas Delhi has nine hundred and something.

MR. SPEAKER: You ask the question only.

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GANGWAR: For Assam it is 422 and for Gujarat it is 447.....

MR. SPEAKER: If you go on quoting figures, then your question would not be properly understood and answered and you will get upset.

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GNAGWAR: I am given the background of the question. Due to this Uttar Pradesh has lagged behind in various fields. Although agriculture is the main rofession there even then no facilities are being provided in this sphere. Centre's contribution for the undertaking of the State should have been 18% whether it was only 8.6% in 1988-89 I seek your protection. Government should adopt a uniform policy throughout the country. My question is whether Government is going to provide to V. P. per-capital outlay equal to the national average. If not, how long?

MR. SPEAKER: If so, when it will be done?

[English]

SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ: Sir, for the

Annual Plan of 1992-93, the State Government proposed only Rs. 4034.42 crore. The matter was discussed in the Working Group and they proposed Rs. 4542.10 crores. It was a need-based outlay. This was the felt need.

There after, the Chief Minister of U. P. and the Deputy Chairman discussed the U. P. Plan thoroughly. Both have agreed to an outlay of Rs. 3853 crores. This figure was arrived at on the basis of the availability of resources.

So, that is the position with regard to 1992-93 and the Chief Minister was fully satisfied by this.

[Translation]

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GANGWAR: Since the name of the Chief Minister has been mentioned, the matter can be clarified only after making enquiries oron him. Many of the projects of Uttar Pradesh are under consideration of the Central Government like Oreyya Gas Crackle project for which approval has been given while Anpara project is still awaiting clearance due to paucity of funds. Public Tubewel Project has been submitted to the Central Government. Jamrani Dam Project has been approved by the Planning Commission, but it has not been cleared by the Environment Ministry. State Government has sent a proposal for a gas based power plant.

MR. SPEAKER: It seems that the list is very long and it will take one hour.

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GANGWAR: HBJ pipeline and natural gas has to allotted. These are the four-five important things. Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would like to know as to when the Government is going to grat approval under a time bound programme so that development of Uttar Pradesh may take place because Uttar Pradesh is suffering

due to these things. This is part (a)' the (b) part is about allotment of Sugar, Kerosene Oil, wheat etc. by the Central Government. I will sprier only one thing.

MR. SPEAKER: You will have to ask it in the from of a question. Questions are not asked like this.

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GANGWAR: Out of total 11 lakh metric tonnes only 50 thousand tonnes have been given, then how do you expect development of Uttar Pradesh, how can it feed its people? I want your protection and would like to say that justice should be done with Uttar Pradesh.

MR. SPEAKER: Don't ask for protection ask the question only in the first part. I will perfect that.

SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GANGWAR: I have referred to five projects. I would like to know when these would be approved?

MR. SPEAKER: Are you going to give some money for that ?

[English]

SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ: So far as this question is concerned, I have noted what the hon. Member has said. But I would give him one information that part (b) has been included and provision has been made because that is a long standing yojna. This question relates to the total plan outlay. So, I have given in details. I must give him one good information that UP has been given the highest outlay in the country. So, there is no question of any discrimination .

[Translation]

SHRI BHUWAN CHANDRA KHAN-DURI: Mr. Speaker, Sir recently Planning Commission has fixed some new norms for giving financial aid where under 60 percent

population and 25 percent per capita income have been fixed as criteria and on this based only assistance is being given. My submission is that the hilly areas of Uttar Pradesh have the maximum population and per capita income is the lowest but in comparison to other hilly areas of the country the Uttranchal area of Uttar Pradesh is getting the lowest assistance, whether the hon. Minister would State that why Uttranchal is being neglected every year. This has happened this year also and will justice be done with this area.

[English]

SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ: In the Special Central Assistance for the Hill Development Programme, an amount of Rs. 182.1 crores in addition to the plan outlay has been allotted to the Hill Development Programme, in UP. This is in addition to Rs. 38,000 and odd crores given in the outlay. This is a fair provision.

SHRI BHUWAN CHANDRA KHAN-DURI: He did not answer my question.

[Translation]

SHRI RAM NIHOR RAI: Mr. Speaker, Sir, through you, I would like to submit to the hon. Minister of Planning and Programme Implementation that the Gujarat project situated in the Sonabhadra district of Uttar Pradesh and encompassing parts of Madhya Pradesh and Bihar which will also benefit from it, is lying incomplete for want of funds. Millions of Rupees have already been invested in the project. On the projects completed, large chunks of agricultural land would be brought under irrigation from the water provided by the Rihand Dam. Around 5 thermal power stations are located in its periphery. I would like to know whether the Government will allocated the requisite funds for the said project and re-commence work in it at the earliest? Will it make immediate provision for funds, keeping in mind the fact that

millions of Rupees have already been invested on the project and the backward areas of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar will immensely benefit from it? This region is extremely backward and the land is lying barren, in the absence of irrigation facilities.

[English]

SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ: Kindly excuse me, the hon. Member has a point to know from me. But, is it covered by this question? This is the plan outlay for 1992-93.

MR. SPEAKER: Every time you do not expect that this does not come out of the question.

SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ: Whatever information is required, I am dealing with the project on that at so. He can write to me. I will give him full details.

[Translation]

SHRI RAM NIHOR RAI: Mr. Speaker, Sir, millions of Rupees have already been spent on it. Please get it investigated as many expensive machinery are getting rusted on account of disuse.

[English]

SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ: I am prepared to help him in the information he wants.

MR. SPEAKER: You should help him.

[Translation]

SHRI CHANDRA JEET YAD : AV: Has the Planning Minister's attention been drawn to the public statement made by the U. P. Chief Minister, in which he has alleged that the Planning Commission has done injustice to Uttar Pradesh by reducing the allocation for the State's annual plan outlay by 30%.

There are many backward areas in the State, but it is not receiving fund for development, in proportion to its backwardness. Secondly, I would like to mention here that the Uttar Pradesh Government has written to the Planning Commission that the State has some of the most backward areas in the country. Earlier, the Planning Commission used to identify certain areas as "No Industry Districts" and provided them with special assistance, in order to enable the establishment of industries in those areas. I would like to know whether the Planning Commission has abandoned the scheme of identifying such areas? The U. P. Chief Minister has written to us that the Union Government has refused to provide any special assistance to the backward districts of the State. The districts in the eastern part of Uttar Pradesh are extremely backward and the State Government had requested the Planning Commission to make special provisions, and provide assistance for the development of these arrears. I would like to know the hon. Minister's reaction to this request.

[English]

SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ: I am fully ensure of what the hon. Member sings, This issue was taken up by the Chief Minister of Uttar Pradesh with the Planning Commission, and there after another round of talks were held between the Deputy Chairman of the Planning Commission and the Chief Minister. I am very happy to inform that the provision has been stepped up by 4.1 per cent; it was not brought down as against the last year's provision. Now, a step up has been made. And I told that the Chief Minister is satisfied.

Regarding the other part of the question, the Chief Minister is fully satisfied with the step up of 4.1 per cent over and above last year's provision. It was increased as against the last year's provision. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: Pleased do not reply to Shri Niramli Kanti Chatterjee's queries because it will become an unending affair.

SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ: I value Shri Chandra Jeet Yadav very much. I would like to be as brief as possible.

That statement has been fully redressed and there-after he is satisfied but with regard to the Eastern U. P. I am worried; how it has to be treated, being a backward region. I have made a note of it. I do not have that information now. The people of the Eastern U.P. do deserve a better treatment, there are a large number of patriotic people from there, and I agree that they deserve a better treatment. (Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: This is a question relating to U. P. you should understand you are from Bihar.

#### **Commercial Flats in Nehru Place**

\*493. SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Delhi Development Authority had offered commercial flats in Nehru Place for allotment by draw of lots in 1984 and also invited applications therefore;

(b) whether the lots were drawn and successful applicants were asked to deposit different instalments;

(c) if so, the total amount collected and the time by which the possession is to be given;

(d) whether the construction work has since been started; and

(e) if not, the reasons thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE

MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b). Yes, Sir.

(c) The Delhi Development Authority have reported that an amount of Rs. 1.62 crores has been collected from the allottees. However, possession of flats could not be given because of a ban on construction of high rise buildings at Nehru Place and subsequently the scheme was abandoned by the DDA.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) Does not arise.

SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL: It is not a happy situation to find that governmental agencies like the Delhi Development Authority here, Chandigarh Housing Board and various other agencies act like private property dealers. In certain cases the offers are made and they act as dream merchants. People respond to the advertisements, money is deposited and then nothing further is done thereon. There are various incidents. This is one before us I know similar things have been happening in Chandigarh. People applied in 1977 for MIG flats which were not considered and subsequent scheme are announced.

In this scenario, I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether the Government has issued instructions or would issue instructions to at least all the governmental agencies under the Central Government to honour the commitments on the offers made to the citizens.

SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM: We have to ensure that the DDA fulfills the commitments made to the applicants. In this particular case, I would like to say that the mistake was not by the DDA. A ban was introduced.